



Introduction to Computational Logic, SS 2006: Solution for assignment 5

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dipl.-Inform. Mathias Möhl

Exercise 5.1 (Renaming)

a) $y \notin \mathcal{N}t \Rightarrow (t[x:=y])[y:=s] = t[x:=s]$

Proof by induction on $|t|$. Case analysis according to Par.

Case $t = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(x[x := y])[y := s] &= y[y := s] && \text{SN} \\ &= s && \text{SN} \\ &= x[x := s] && \text{SN}\end{aligned}$$

Case $t = u, u \neq x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(u[x := y])[y := s] &= u[y := s] && \text{SN, } u \neq x \\ &= u && \text{SN, } y \notin \mathcal{N}(t) \\ &= u[x := s] && \text{SN, } u \neq x\end{aligned}$$

Case $t = s't'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}((s't')[x := y])[y := s] & \\ &= ((s'[x := y])(t'[x := y]))[y := s] && \text{SA} \\ &= ((s'[x := y])[y := s])((t'[x := y])[y := s]) && \text{SA} \\ &= (s'[x := s])(t'[x := s]) && \text{induction hypothesis} \\ &= (s't')[x := s] && \text{SA}\end{aligned}$$

Case $t = \lambda z.s'$. By Proposition 2.2, Inf and IL we can assume $z \notin \mathcal{N}(s) \cup \{x, y\}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\lambda z.s')[x := y])[y := s] \\
&= (\lambda z.(s'[x := y]))[y := s] && \text{SL, } z \notin \{x, y\} \\
&= \lambda z.((s'[x := y])[y := s]) && \text{SL, } z \neq y, z \notin \mathcal{N}(s) \\
&= \lambda z.(s'[x := s]) && \text{induction hypothesis} \\
&= (\lambda z.s')[x := s] && \text{SL, } z \neq x, z \notin \mathcal{N}(s) \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \forall t \forall v \forall \theta: v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta t) \iff (\exists u \in \mathcal{N}t: v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u))$$

Proof by induction on $|t|$. Case analysis according to Par.

Case $t = u$ Then

$$v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u) \iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}u: v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u)$$

Case $t = ss'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta(ss')) \\
&\iff v \in \mathcal{N}((\mathbf{S}\theta s)(\mathbf{S}\theta s')) && \text{SA} \\
&\iff v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta s) \vee v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta s') && \text{NA} \\
&\iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}s: v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u) \vee \exists u \in \mathcal{N}s': v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u) && \text{ind. hyp.} \\
&\text{iff } \exists u \in \mathcal{N}(ss'): v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta u) && \text{NA}
\end{aligned}$$

Case $t = \lambda x.s$. By Proposition 2.2, Inf and IL we can assume that $x \neq v$ and $\forall u \in \mathcal{N}t: x \notin \mathcal{N}(\theta u)$. Let $\theta' = \theta[x := x]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta t) \\
&\iff v \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda x.\mathbf{S}\theta's) && \text{SL} \\
&\iff v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}\theta's) && \text{NL, } v \neq x \\
&\iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}s: v \in \mathcal{N}(\theta'u) && \text{induction hypothesis} \\
&\iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}s: u \neq x \wedge v \in \mathcal{N}(\theta'u) && v \neq x \\
&\iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}s: u \neq x \wedge v \in \mathcal{N}(\theta u) \\
&\iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N}t: v \in \mathcal{N}(\theta u) && \text{NL} \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.2 (Boolean Connectives)

$$\neg x = x \rightarrow 0$$

$$x \wedge y = \neg(x \rightarrow \neg y)$$

$$x \vee y = \neg x \rightarrow y$$

or alternatively

$$\neg 0 = 1$$

$$0 \wedge x = 0$$

$$1 \wedge x = x$$

$$\neg 1 = 0$$

$$1 \vee x = 1$$

$$0 \vee x = x$$

Exercise 5.3 (Quantifiers)

$$\forall(\lambda x.1) = 1$$

$$\forall f \rightarrow fx = 1$$

$$\exists(\lambda x.0) = 0$$

$$fx \rightarrow \exists f = 1$$

Exercise 5.4 (Identity)

$$x \doteq x = 1$$

$$x \doteq y \rightarrow fx \rightarrow fy = 1$$

Exercise 5.5 (Linear) Property 1) requires that every element of V must be reachable from o by σ . In other words, for all $v \in V$ there exists an n such that $v = \sigma^n o$. This is ensured by the induction axiom

$$fo \rightarrow (\forall x. fx \rightarrow f(\sigma x)) \rightarrow \forall f = 1$$

since for every unreachable v there is an f that holds on all reachable values but does not hold on v .

Exercise 5.6 (Infinite Chain)

$$o \doteq o = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$o \doteq \sigma x = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma x \doteq \sigma y = x \doteq y \tag{3}$$

$$x \doteq y = y \doteq x \tag{4}$$

The finite models of Linear do not satisfy these axioms due to the following reason. In the finite models σ is cyclic, that is, there exists an a such that $a = \sigma^n o = \sigma^{(n+m)} o$ for some n and $m \geq 1$. We show that this leads to the contradiction $1 = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 a \doteq a = (\sigma^n o \doteq \sigma^n o) & a \doteq a = (\sigma^n o \doteq \sigma^{(n+m)} o) & \\
 = (o \doteq o) & = (o \doteq \sigma^m o) & n \text{ times axiom (3)} \\
 = 1 & = 0 & \text{axioms (1) and (2)}
 \end{array}$$

Alternatively, \doteq can be axiomatized as in Exercise 5.4 and the following additional axioms ensure that σ is acyclic:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (\sigma x \doteq \sigma y) = (x \doteq y) & \text{Each element has at most one predecessor} \\
 (\sigma x \doteq o) = 0 & \text{origin has no predecessor}
 \end{array}$$

Exercise 5.7 (Natural Numbers)

$$\forall (\lambda x. 1) = 1$$

$$\forall f \rightarrow fx = 1$$

$$f\underline{0} \rightarrow (\forall (\lambda x. fx \rightarrow f(x+1))) \rightarrow \forall f = 1$$

$$\underline{0} \leq x = 1$$

$$x + \underline{1} \leq \underline{0} = 0$$

$$x + \underline{1} \leq y + \underline{1} = x \leq y$$

$$\underline{0} + y = y$$

$$(x + \underline{1}) + y = (x + y) + \underline{1}$$

$$\underline{0} \cdot y = \underline{0}$$

$$(x + \underline{1}) \cdot y = x \cdot y + y$$

Note that $\lambda x. x+1$ serves as σ and the axioms for \leq exclude finite models similar to the axioms for \doteq in Exercise 5.6.