

Exercise Sheet 6

Out: June 6, 2006

Saarland University

Problem 1: Security Notions of Keyed Hash Functions

Prove the following lemma presented in the lecture: A collision-resistant family H of keyed hash functions is one-way if additionally it holds that every digest has at least two pre-images. More precisely, for every security parameter n , for every key pk from the carrier set $[\text{Gen}(n)]$ of the probabilistic key generation algorithm $\text{Gen}(n)$, every element t in the range of $H(pk, \cdot)$ has at least two pre-images with respect to the function $H(pk, \cdot)$.

Recall the definition of one-wayness of such a family as presented in the lecture (it's syntactically close to the definition of collision-resistance presented in the lecture notes):

Definition 1 A family H of keyed hash functions is one-way if for all efficient adversaries A , we have that

$$\Pr [H(pk, m') = t; pk \leftarrow \text{Gen}(n), m \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}_{pk}, t := H(pk, m), m' \leftarrow A(n, pk, t)]$$

is negligible in n , where \mathcal{M}_{pk} denotes the domain of $H(pk, \cdot)$.

Problem 2: Key Generation for ElGamal

For using ElGamal in subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_p^* , we need to pick a random element of order q for setting up a subgroup G_q of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with q elements. Let p, q be two primes with $q \mid p - 1$. Show how one can efficiently find an element in \mathbb{Z}_p^* of order q (which then consequently constitutes a generator of a subgroup G_q of \mathbb{Z}_p^*).

Problem 3: Pollard's Rho Algorithm

In this problem we explore Pollard's Rho algorithm for computing discrete logarithms $\text{DLog}_\alpha(\beta)$ in arbitrary groups of prime order, i.e., the algorithm does not exploit any special group structure.

Let a group G of prime order p be given along with a "suitable" partition into sets G_0, G_1, G_2 , i.e., $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. The algorithm starts with a tuple $(x_0, a_0, b_0) = (1, 0, 0)$ and successively computes tuples $(x_{i+1}, a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) := (f(x_i), g(x_i, a_i), h(x_i, b_i))$ using the following functions f, g, h :

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} x^2 & x \in G_0 \\ \beta x & x \in G_1 \\ \alpha x & x \in G_2 \end{cases}$$

$$g(x, a) := \begin{cases} 2a \bmod p & x \in G_0 \\ a & x \in G_1 \\ a + 1 \bmod p & x \in G_2 \end{cases}$$

$$h(x, b) := \begin{cases} 2b \bmod p & x \in G_0 \\ b + 1 \bmod p & x \in G_1 \\ b & x \in G_2 \end{cases}$$

It computes and stores these tuples until it finds two tuples with $x_i = x_j$. Then it tests if $b_i = b_j \bmod p$, in which case it outputs failure, otherwise it outputs $z := (a_j - a_i)(b_i - b_j)^{-1} \bmod p$ as $\text{DLog}_\alpha(\beta)$.

- (a) Find an invariant for (x_i, a_i, b_i) , i.e., a relation that is fulfilled for each index i .

- (b) Prove that, if the algorithm outputs $z \neq \text{failure}$, then $z = \text{DLog}_\alpha(\beta)$.
- (c) Estimate the running time of the above algorithm, i.e., the number of iterations of f needed to find the first collision. (Note that termination only depends on the values x_i and thus only on f .) You may assume that the function f behaves “chaotic”, i.e., each new element x_i is a random element of G , and that the algorithm never outputs failure. (These assumptions of course depend on the choice of G_i and are an idealization, but practice shows that they are realistic.)
- (d) The algorithm as described above needs to store all values x_1, \dots, x_i and to compare x_{i+1} with all of them. Can you think of a modification that needs to store only two such tuples, while only moderately decreasing speed?